




PIANC

The World Association for Waterborne
Transport Infrastructure

HEALTH MONITORING OF PORT AND WATERWAYS STRUCTURES



InCom Working Group Report N° 199 – 2023

PIANC REPORT N° 199

INCOM COMMISSION

HEALTH MONITORING OF PORT AND WATERWAYS STRUCTURES

May 2023

PIANC has Technical Commissions concerned with inland waterways and ports (InCom), coastal and ocean waterways (including ports and harbours) (MarCom), environmental aspects (EnviCom) and sport and pleasure navigation (RecCom).

This report has been produced by an international Working Group convened by the Inland Navigation Commission (InCom). Members of the Working Group represent several countries and are acknowledged experts in their profession.

The objective of this report is to provide information and recommendations on good practice. Conformity is not obligatory and engineering judgement should be used in its application, especially in special circumstances. This report should be seen as an expert guide and state-of-the-art on this particular subject. PIANC disclaims all responsibility in the event that this report should be presented as an official standard.

PIANC HQ
Boulevard du Roi Albert II 20 B. 3
1000 Brussels | Belgium

<http://www.pianc.org>

VAT BE 408-287-945

ISBN 978-2-87223-027-3

© All rights reserved

TABLE OF CONTENTS

LIST OF FIGURES	6
LIST OF TABLES	7
1 Introduction	8
1.1 Structural Health Monitoring	9
1.2 Steps for SHM and Outline of Report	10
1.3 Lexica	11
1.4 Related PIANC Reports	11
1.5 Members of the Working Group	12
1.6 Meetings	12
2 Objectives of structural health monitoring	13
2.1 Introduction	13
2.1.1 Overall Monitoring Objectives	14
2.2 Considerations	16
2.2.1 Stakeholders	16
2.2.2 Decision-Making Level	17
2.2.3 Asset Life Cycle/Phase	17
2.2.4 Consequences of Structural Failure.....	18
2.2.5 Constraints/Considerations.....	18
2.3 Summary	19
3 Application space	20
3.1 Introduction	20
3.2 Material Properties and Behaviours to Consider for SHM	21
3.2.1 Steel.....	22
3.2.2 Concrete.....	24
3.2.3 Timber.....	27
3.2.4 Masonry.....	28
3.2.5 FRP Composites.....	29
3.2.6 Soils	29
3.3 SHM Application Specific to Structure/Infrastructure Type.....	29
3.3.1 Waterways Structures	29
3.3.2 Maritime Structures	31
3.4 Operational and Environmental Parameters	32
3.4.1 Berthing Forces/Energy/Velocity	33
3.4.2 Temperature	33
3.4.3 Moisture.....	34
3.4.4 Wind.....	34
3.4.5 Hydrologic Parameters and Precipitation	34
3.4.6 Solar Radiation	34
3.5 Concluding Remarks	34
4 Available sensing technology	35
4.1 Structural Parameters	35
4.1.1 Summary Table.....	35
4.1.2 Accelerometers	37

4.1.3	Acoustic Emissions.....	37
4.1.4	Inclinometers	38
4.1.5	Pendulum.....	39
4.1.6	Water Level Tube	40
4.1.7	Shape Acceleration Array	40
4.1.8	Load Cells/Force Transducers	41
4.1.9	Strain Gauges	41
4.1.10	Total Station	43
4.2	Environmental Parameters.....	44
4.2.1	Summary Table.....	45
4.2.2	Resistance Thermometers	45
4.2.3	Psychrometers (Moisture and Humidity)	46
4.2.4	Capacitive Humidity Sensors	47
4.2.5	Anemometers (Wind Speed and Direction)	47
4.2.6	Pyranometers (Solar Radiation)	48
4.3	Summary	48
5	Data collection and management.....	49
5.1	Data Sources	49
5.2	Sensor Connectivity	50
5.2.1	Wired	50
5.2.2	Wireless.....	50
5.3	Data Collection/Acquisition	51
5.3.1	Signal Conditioning/Anti-Aliasing Filters	52
5.3.2	Sampling Rate Considerations for Large Civil Infrastructure	53
5.3.3	Triggering the Data Acquisition System.....	53
5.3.4	Power Supplies	54
5.4	Data Transmission.....	54
5.5	Data Management	55
5.6	Data Storage	55
5.7	Data Security	56
5.8	Data Preservation	57
5.9	Other Considerations	58
5.9.1	Reliability and Redundancy	59
5.9.2	Grounding and Ground Loops.....	59
5.9.3	Calibration	59
5.9.4	Installation Access	59
5.9.5	Physical Security	59
5.10	Conclusions.....	60
6	Data Assessment.....	61
6.1	Introduction	61
6.2	Data Normalisation.....	61
6.2.1	Common Environmental Conditions for Port and Waterways Structures	62
6.2.2	Overview Table	62
6.2.3	Centring/Zeroing Data	63
6.2.4	Mean Subtraction	63
6.2.5	Detrending.....	63
6.2.6	Moving Average and Other Low-Pass Filters	64
6.2.7	Differencing	66

6.3	Data Validity and Completeness	67
6.3.1	Data Cleansing and Detection of Outliers and Errors	67
6.4	Uncertainties.....	69
6.4.1	Measurement Uncertainties	69
6.4.2	Modelling Uncertainties	73
6.5	Turning Data Into Information.....	73
6.5.1	Engineering Principles/Physics-Based Methods	73
6.5.2	Data-Driven/Statistical Approaches.....	77
6.5.3	Combination Approaches/Physics-Informed Statistical Methods.....	79
6.6	Summary	79
7	USE OF SHM INFORMATION	80
7.1	Potential Stakeholders.....	80
7.2	Toolkits	80
7.2.1	Condition Scoring	80
7.2.2	Model Updating.....	82
7.2.3	Digital Twins.....	83
7.2.4	Supplementing Infrequent Periodic Inspection	83
7.3	Data Presentation.....	83
7.4	Summary	84
8	CONCLUSIONS.....	85
9	REFERENCES.....	87
9.1	Chapter 1 – General Aspects.....	87
9.2	Chapter 2 – Objectives of structural health monitoring.....	87
9.3	Chapter 3 – Application space	88
9.4	Chapter 4 – Available sensing technology	89
9.5	Chapter 5 – Data collection and management	90
9.6	Chapter 6 – Data Assessment	91
APPENDIX A: EXAMPLE USES.....		92
A.1	USACE SMART GATE, Multiple Lock and Dam Locations, U.S.A.....	92
A.2	Lock Uelzen I, Elbe Side Canal, Germany	94

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1.1: a) A selection of coastal (cyan) and inland (yellow) ports connected by waterway infrastructure in the U.S. (USACE Institute for Water Resources). b) A selection of ports (anchor symbols) and inland waterways (blue lines) in EU Member nations (Annex I to EU Document 52021SC0471(01)).....	8
Figure 1.2: Overview of an SHM system.....	9
Figure 2.1: High-level overview of SHM.....	13
Figure 3.1: Potential fatigue monitoring system outline	23
Figure 3.2: Measuring range of different measuring principles	33
Figure 4.1: Solid hydraulic structures [BAW]	35
Figure 4.2: Inclinator based on liquid (left) and pendulum (right) [8].....	38
Figure 4.3: Reversible inclinometers: Zeromatic (left) [BAW] [9] Reversible measurement (centre) with equation for turnover measurements (right).....	39

Figure 4.4: Pendulum principle (left) and pendulum measuring system from @Sisgeo (edited, right)	40
Figure 4.5: Shape acceleration array from @GEODATA (edited, right) and principle (left)	41
Figure 4.6: Typical foil strain gage	42
Figure 4.7: (a) Wheatstone bridge configuration (b) strain gage acting as a resistor in a Wheatstone bridge.....	42
Figure 4.8: Total Station Scheme [19] and image [BAW]	44
Figure 4.9: Pt100 temperature sensor for 4-wire measurement [BAW]	45
Figure 4.10: Connection type of a Pt100 temperature measuring resistor. a) simple bridge circuit b) bridge circuit with 3-wire measurement c) 4-wire measurement [1]	46
Figure 4.11: Maintenance Free anemometer installed in the field.....	48
Figure 5.1: SHM system architecture	49
Figure 5.2: Data logger, with several multiplexers, for data collection for an SHM system at a lock and dam site in the U.S.	52
Figure 6.1: (a) Hypothetical strain gage reading with shift due to thermal changes. (b) strain gage reading normalised by subtracting the mean	63
Figure 6.2: (a) Hypothetical sensor reading with linear drift. (b) normalised/de-trended sensor reading with linear drift removed	64
Figure 6.3: (a) A signal with a low frequency signal of interest, that has a significant level of high-frequency noise superimposed. (b) the same signal after applying a moving average or a low pass filter.....	65
Figure 6.4: (a) Two sensor readings with significantly different magnitudes (b) sensor readings standardised (zero mean, unit standard deviation) showing that they are largely measuring the same phenomenon.....	66
Figure 6.5: (a) Signal with drift and periodicity (b) differenced variable, removing the drift and showing the change in the signal with respect to time.....	66
Figure 6.6: a) Sudden jumps in the data. b) sensors 'die', c) sudden massive shifts in the statistics of the data	68
Figure 6.7: Real sensor vs. ideal sensor showing accuracy as a function of signal magnitude	70
Figure 6.8: Signals with fine and coarse resolution, as compared to the true signal	71
Figure 6.9: (a) Signal with relatively high SNR of 16.93dB (b) same signal with relatively low SNR of -3.07dB	72
Figure 6.10: Mode shapes of a pinned-pinned beam	76
Figure 6.11: (a) Modal frequencies for beams with various boundary conditions. (b) difference in frequency compared to clamped-clamped case. (c) percentage difference compared to clamped-clamped case.	77

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1-1: Related PIANC reports.....	11
Table 4-1: Summary of typical sensors for structural parameters.....	37
Table 4-2: Possible accuracy of total stations with precision prism [2]	44
Table 4-3: Summary of typical sensors for environmental parameters	45
Table 4-4: Measurement uncertainties of pyranometers [7]	48
Table 6-1: Overview of common data issues and associated normalisation methods.....	62
Table 6-2: Common Sensor Faults and mitigation procedures	68
Table 7-1: Overview of stakeholders, their objectives, and how SHM information should be presented	81