



PIANC

EnviCom WG Report n° 176 - 2018



GUIDE FOR APPLYING WORKING WITH NATURE TO NAVIGATION INFRASTRUCTURE PROJECTS

The World Association for Waterborne Transport Infrastructure



PIANC
The World Association for
Waterborne Transport Infrastructure

PIANC REPORT N° 176
ENVIRONMENTAL COMMISSION

**GUIDE FOR APPLYING WORKING WITH NATURE
TO NAVIGATION INFRASTRUCTURE PROJECTS**

2018

PIANC has Technical Commissions concerned with inland waterways and ports (InCom), coastal and ocean waterways (including ports and harbours) (MarCom), environmental aspects (EnviCom) and sport and pleasure navigation (RecCom).

This report has been produced by an international Working Group convened by the Environmental Commission (EnviCom). Members of the Working Group represent several countries and are acknowledged experts in their profession.

The objective of this report is to provide information and recommendations on good practice. Conformity is not obligatory and engineering judgement should be used in its application, especially in special circumstances. This report should be seen as an expert guidance and state-of-the-art on this particular subject. PIANC disclaims all responsibility in the event that this report should be presented as an official standard.

PIANC HQ
Boulevard du Roi Albert II 20, B 3
1000 Brussels
Belgium

<http://www.pianc.org>

VAT BE 408-287-945

ISBN 978-2-87223-253-6

© All rights reserved

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | | |
|----------|--|-----------|
| 1 | INTRODUCTION | 4 |
| 1.1 | Preface | 4 |
| 1.2 | PIANC WwN Position Paper Summary | 5 |
| 1.3 | Terms of Reference – Aims And Objectives | 5 |
| 1.4 | Intended Audience | 6 |
| 1.5 | Considerations for Economies in Transition and Developing Countries | 6 |
| 1.6 | PIANC WG 176 Members | 7 |
| 1.6.1 | Working Group Chair and Mentor | 7 |
| 1.6.2 | Working Group Members and Contributors | 7 |
| 1.7 | Acknowledgements | 8 |
| 1.8 | Report Structure | 8 |
| 2 | BACKGROUND | 10 |
| 2.1 | Context | 10 |
| 2.1.1 | Precursors | 10 |
| 2.1.2 | Similar Frameworks | 11 |
| 2.2 | WwN Framework | 12 |
| 2.3 | Natural and Nature-Based Features | 15 |
| 2.4 | Potential Challenges | 15 |
| 2.4.1 | Fear of Change | 15 |
| 2.4.2 | Assumed Additional Cost | 15 |
| 2.4.3 | Lack of Interest or Support | 15 |
| 2.4.4 | Limited Experience or Insufficient Time during Design | 16 |
| 2.4.5 | Uncertainty Related to the Performance of Natural Systems | 16 |
| 3 | CONTEXT | 17 |
| 3.1 | Type of Environments | 17 |
| 3.2 | WwN and Existing Regulations | 17 |
| 3.3 | Types of Projects | 18 |
| 3.3.1 | Navigation Infrastructure | 18 |
| 3.3.2 | Dredged Material Management | 20 |
| 3.4 | Potential Positive Impacts | 24 |
| 4 | WWN FRAMEWORK | 26 |
| 4.1 | Step 1: Establish Project Needs and Objectives | 27 |
| 4.2 | Step 2: Understand the Environment | 27 |
| 4.3 | Step 3: Make Meaningful Use of Stakeholder Engagement to Identify Possible Win-Win Opportunities | 29 |
| 4.4 | Step 4: Prepare Initial Project Proposal/Design to Benefit Navigation and Nature | 30 |
| 4.5 | Step 5: Build and Implement | 33 |
| 4.6 | Step 6: Monitor, Evaluate and Adapt | 34 |
| 5 | WORKING WITH NATURE CASE STUDIES | 37 |
| 5.1 | Port 2000 Le Havre and Seine Estuary, France | 37 |
| 5.2 | Kreetsand/Spadenlander Busch, Germany | 40 |
| 5.3 | Middle Harbour, Oakland California, United States | 44 |
| 5.4 | Atchafalaya River Island Creation, Louisiana, United States | 48 |
| 5.5 | Jätkäsaari Port Redevelopment, Helsinki, Finland | 52 |
| 5.6 | Vuosaari Port Redevelopment, Helsinki, Finland | 56 |
| 5.7 | Brightlingsea Harbour USAR, United Kingdom | 60 |
| 5.8 | Salhouse Broad USAR, United Kingdom | 64 |
| 5.9 | Updated Sigmaplan and Sustainable Management Plan, Upper Sea Scheldt, Belgium | 68 |
| 5.10 | Fehmarnbelt, a New Green Link between Germany and Denmark | 73 |
| 5.11 | Green Gateway ('Groene Poort'), Port of Rotterdam, the Netherlands | 78 |
| 5.12 | Securing Delta Coastlines and Socio-Economic Prosperity in Northern Java, Indonesia | 81 |
| 6 | REFERENCES | 87 |
| | APPENDIX A: TERMS OF REFERENCE | 89 |
| | APPENDIX B: ABBREVIATIONS | 92 |
| | APPENDIX C: COMPONENTS OF UNDERSTANDING THE ENVIRONMENT | 93 |
| | APPENDIX D: GUIDE FOR THE SUCCESSFUL IMPLEMENTATION OF A STAKEHOLDER ENGAGEMENT PLAN | 97 |

LIST OF FIGURES

| | | |
|-----------------|---|----|
| Figure 2.2-1.: | Basic steps of WwN depicting a dynamic, adaptive management process | 11 |
| Figure 3.1-1.: | Different considerations for navigation infrastructure projects | 15 |
| Figure 4.0-1.: | The 'Working with Nature' approach | 24 |
| Figure 4.4-1.: | Artificial beach design based on an existing natural beach | 29 |
| Figure 5.1-1.: | Port of Le Havre after expansion | 35 |
| Figure 5.1-2.: | Habitat improvements in the Seine Estuary | 35 |
| Figure 5.1-3.: | Breakwater sub-base made of gravel | 37 |
| Figure 5.1-4.: | Bird Island constructed using dredged sediment | 37 |
| Figure 5.1-5.: | Mathematical modelling of breakwater | 38 |
| Figure 5.2-1.: | Location of the Kreetssand/Spadenlander Busch site | 38 |
| Figure 5.2-2.: | Criteria of the planning process | 40 |
| Figure 5.2-3.: | Kreetssand/Spadenlander Busch design of the pilot study | 40 |
| Figure 5.2-4.: | The area of Kreetssand/Spadenlander Busch before the start of the works | 41 |
| Figure 5.2-5.: | Current state of the measure | 41 |
| Figure 5.3-1.: | Port of Oakland looking west towards San Francisco | 42 |
| Figure 5.3-2.: | Vision 2000 MHSP and MHEA Projects | 42 |
| Figure 5.3-3.: | 1999 Oakland Naval Supply Centre shortly after transfer from US Navy to the Port | 43 |
| Figure 5.3-4.: | MHEA and MHSP today | 44 |
| Figure 5.3-5.: | Middle Harbour Sediment use | 45 |
| Figure 5.4-1.: | The dredge, California, at Horseshoe Bend, on the lower Atchafalaya River, Louisiana | 46 |
| Figure 5.4-2.: | Imagery displaying island evolution from 1992 through 2009 | 47 |
| Figure 5.4-3.: | Infaunal sampling at Horseshoe Bend Island | 48 |
| Figure 5.4-4.: | Diverse assemblage of native plant and animal life colonised on Horseshoe Bend island | 49 |
| Figure 5.5-1.: | Location of the Jätkäsaari Site in southwest Helsinki and the former industrial harbour | 50 |
| Figure 5.5-2.: | Impoundment basins at Jätkäsaari receiving dredged, contaminated material | 52 |
| Figure 5.5-3.: | Dredged material stored at Jätkäsaari and awaiting stabilisation | 52 |
| Figure 5.5-4.: | Stabilised, dredged material is removed from the basins and stockpiled for use | 53 |
| Figure 5.6-1.: | Vuosaari Harbour and Helsinki's city centre | 54 |
| Figure 5.6-2.: | Schematic section of sediment stabilisation lagoons | 56 |
| Figure 5.6-3.: | Vuosaari Port during construction | 56 |
| Figure 5.6-4.: | Stabilisation of the contaminated sediment in the lagoons | 57 |
| Figure 5.6-5.: | Vuosaari Harbour satellite image in 2017 | 57 |
| Figure 5.7-1.: | Location of the USAR site | 59 |
| Figure 5.7-2.: | Finalised locations of restoration sites following stakeholder engagement | 60 |
| Figure 5.7-3.: | Comparison of historical aerial photographs | 61 |
| Figure 5.7-4.: | Aerial photo of the Cindery West, west point year 1 restoration compartments | 62 |
| Figure 5.7-5.: | Hydraulic pumping of dredged material | 62 |
| Figure 5.7-6.: | Monitoring of Cindery West, west point restoration site | 63 |
| Figure 5.8-1.: | Location of the Salhouse Broad site | 64 |
| Figure 5.8-2.: | Outline based on superimposed 1946 waterline | 65 |
| Figure 5.8-3.: | Design of the geotextile tube retaining structure, carried out by Besekk BV | 66 |
| Figure 5.8-4.: | Aerial image of restoration site | 66 |
| Figure 5.8-5.: | Construction of the restoration site | 67 |
| Figure 5.8-6.: | Current image of Salhouse Spit following successful colonisation by local vegetation | 67 |
| Figure 5.9-1.: | Land use plan for the Kalkense Meersen Cluster | 70 |
| Figure 5.9-2.: | Picture of the FCA Bergenmeersen during storm flood | 71 |
| Figure 5.9-3.: | Picture of the self-dredging pilot Uitbergen | 72 |
| Figure 5.10-1.: | The upcoming Fehmarnbelt Fixed Link | 74 |
| Figure 5.10-2.: | The Fehmarnbelt Bathymetry and Measurement Stations | 75 |
| Figure 5.10-3.: | Proposed environmental enhancements and graphical renderings | 77 |
| Figure 5.11-1.: | Location of the Green Gateway | 78 |
| Figure 5.11-2.: | Artist impression of the end result | 79 |
| Figure 5.11-3.: | View towards Gors van Rozenburg from the east | 79 |
| Figure 5.11-4.: | The Green Gateway principle at high tide and low tide | 80 |
| Figure 5.11-5.: | Construction of the Green Port | 80 |
| Figure 5.12-1.: | Impression of heavy erosion in the Demak Region, Norther Java | 81 |

| | | |
|-----------------|---|----|
| Figure 5.12-2.: | Design principle of a permeable structure | 83 |
| Figure 5.12-3.: | Permeable structures | 83 |
| Figure 5.12-4.: | Permeable structure a few months after construction | 84 |
| Figure 5.12-5.: | February 2016 aerial photo of the project area | 84 |
| Figure 5.12-6.: | Construction of permeable dams by local people | 85 |

LIST OF TABLES

| | | |
|---------------|--|----|
| Table 2.2-1.: | Project, environmental and community benefits associated with WwN | 10 |
| Table 5.9-1.: | Overview of the subsectors of Kalkense Meersen Cluster and their use | 70 |

LIST OF EXHIBITS

| | | |
|-------------|---|----|
| Exhibit 1. | BwN Project Examples | 8 |
| Exhibit 2. | EWN® Project Examples | 9 |
| Exhibit 3. | Controlling Saltwater Intrusion in the San Francisco Bay to Stockton Navigation Channel, California, USA | 16 |
| Exhibit 4. | Flood control in San Francisco Bay, California, USA | 17 |
| Exhibit 5. | Sediment Management in the Elbe Estuary, Germany | 22 |
| Exhibit 6. | Tunnel across Fehmarnbelt between Denmark and Germany | 26 |
| Exhibit 7. | South Bay Salt Pond Restoration Project, San Francisco Bay, California, USA | 28 |
| Exhibit 8. | Incorporation of Seabed Landscaping for Sustainable Sand Mining | 29 |
| Exhibit 9. | Dredging Works at Teluk Rubiah, Lumut, Malaysia | 32 |
| Exhibit 10. | New containership port for Le Havre, France | 33 |