




PIANC

EnviCom WG Report n° 178 - 2020



CLIMATE CHANGE ADAPTATION PLANNING FOR PORTS AND INLAND WATERWAYS

The World Association for Waterborne Transport Infrastructure



PIANC
The World Association for
Waterborne Transport Infrastructure

PIANC REPORT N° 178
ENVIRONMENTAL COMMISSION

**CLIMATE CHANGE ADAPTATION PLANNING
FOR PORTS AND INLAND WATERWAYS**

2020

PIANC has Technical Commissions concerned with inland waterways and ports (InCom), coastal and ocean waterways (including ports and harbours) (MarCom), environmental aspects (EnviCom) and sport and pleasure navigation (RecCom).

This report has been produced by an international Working Group convened by the Environmental Commission (EnviCom). Members of the Working Group represent several countries and are acknowledged experts in their profession.

The objective of this report is to provide information and recommendations on good practice. Conformity is not obligatory and engineering judgement should be used in its application, especially in special circumstances. This report should be seen as an expert guidance and state-of-the-art on this particular subject. PIANC disclaims all responsibility in the event that this report should be presented as an official standard.

PIANC Secrétariat Général
Boulevard du Roi Albert II 20, B 3
B-1000 Bruxelles
Belgique

<http://www.pianc.org>

VAT BE 408-287-945

ISBN 978-2-87223-001-3
© All rights reserved

CONTENTS

CONTENTS	1
TABLE OF FIGURES	3
TABLE OF TABLES	3
TABLE OF BOXES	4
SUMMARY	5
GLOSSARY	6
STAGE 1: CONTEXT AND OBJECTIVES	6
STAGE 2: CLIMATE INFORMATION	6
STAGE 3: VULNERABILITIES AND RISKS	7
STAGE 4: ADAPTATION OPTIONS	8
WORKING GROUP MEMBERSHIP AND ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	9
Full Members of PIANC Working Group 178	9
Other contributors to PIANC Working Group 178	9
INTRODUCTION	10
Background	10
Urgent Need for Adaptation Planning	10
Identifying and Assessing the Risks	10
Understanding How the Climate May Change	12
Involving Stakeholders and Identifying Actions	13
Four-Stage Approach to Climate Change Adaptation Planning	13
STAGE 1: CONTEXT AND OBJECTIVES	15
Step 1.1 Agree High-level Goals	15
Step 1.2 Identify Critical Assets, Operations, Systems and Interdependencies	15
1.2.1. Prepare an Inventory	15
1.2.2. Determine Criticality	17
Step 1.3 Indicate the Susceptibility of Assets, Operations and Systems	20
Step 1.4 Work with Stakeholders	21
1.4.1. Identify Relevant Stakeholders	21
1.4.2 Encourage Ownership of the Climate Change Challenge	22
Step 1.5 Determine Adaptation Objectives	23
1.5.1. Agree Boundary Conditions, Constraints and Opportunities	23
1.5.2. Determine the Planning Horizon	25
1.5.3. Set Specific Adaptation and Resilience Objectives	25
Step 1.6 Consider Data Needs	26
1.6.1. Monitoring and Recording	26
1.6.2 Physical Assets and Operational Efficiency	26
1.6.3. Implications of Extreme Events	27
1.6.4. Data Management	27
Outcomes of Stage 1	28
STAGE 2: CLIMATE INFORMATION	29
Step 2.1 Establish Climate Information Needs	29
2.1.1 Confirm Which Climate Parameters and Processes are Relevant	29
2.1.2 Involve Stakeholders in Identifying Relevant Climate Parameters and Processes	30
Step 2.2 Understand Baseline Conditions	32
2.2.1 Collate Baseline Climate Information	32
2.2.2 Include Extreme Events	34
Step 2.3 Explore Possible Future Climate Conditions	35
2.3.1 Align Planning Horizon with Climate Change Scenarios	35
2.3.2 Collate Climate Projections Data	37
2.3.3 Accommodate the Possibility of Increasingly Frequent or Severe Extreme Events	38

Step 2.4 Analyse Data to Understand the Climate Change Hazard	40
2.4.1 Analyse Baseline and Projections Data	40
2.4.2 Understand Data Limitations.....	41
Outcomes of Stage 2	42
 STAGE 3: VULNERABILITIES AND RISKS	43
Step 3.1 Agree Approach to Vulnerability Assessment	43
Step 3.2 Establish Changes in Susceptibility.....	45
Step 3.3 Agree on Risk Indicators and Assess Vulnerability	46
3.3.1 Threshold Based Approach to Define Risk Indicators.....	46
3.3.2 Define an Acceptable Level of Risk	47
3.3.3 Determine Whether Thresholds Could Be Exceeded	48
3.3.4 Explore the Extent of Adaptive Capacity.....	50
3.3.5 Identify Other Indicators of Increased Vulnerability.....	51
3.3.6 Complete Vulnerability Assessment and Report on Outcomes.....	52
3.3.7 Set Adaptation Priorities	54
3.3.8 Agree Next Steps.....	55
Step 3.4 Select an Appropriate Level of Risk Analysis	55
Step 3.5 Assess Likelihood.....	57
Step 3.6 Assess Consequences.....	58
3.6.1 Record Magnitude, Severity and the Nature of the Impact	58
3.6.2 Derive Consequence Ratings	58
3.6.3 Prepare Risk Matrices	59
Step 3.7 Summarise Risk Assessment Outcomes	63
Outcomes of Stage 3	63
 STAGE 4: ADAPTATION OPTIONS	64
Step 4.1 Understand Implications of Uncertainty: Role of Adaptation Pathways	64
4.1.1 <i>Avoiding Maladaptation</i>	64
4.1.2 <i>Short-Term vs. Long-Term Measures</i>	65
4.1.3 <i>Accommodate Uncertainty in Design</i>	65
4.1.4 <i>Understanding When to Act?</i>	68
Step 4.2 Use the Portfolio of Measures	68
4.2.1 <i>Collate Information to Inform the Selection of Measures</i>	68
4.2.2 <i>Prepare to Use the Portfolio of Measures</i>	68
4.2.3 <i>Use the Portfolio to Identify a List of Measures for Screening</i>	71
Step 4.3 Screen List of Possible Measures to Derive Options	74
4.3.1 <i>Agree Screening Methodology and Criteria</i>	74
4.3.2 <i>Undertake Screening</i>	74
Step 4.4 Evaluate Shortlist of Options	76
4.4.1 <i>Available Evaluation Methods</i>	76
4.4.2 <i>Select Method and Evaluate Options</i>	77
Step 4.5 Develop Adaptation Pathways	78
Step 4.6 Prepare Adaptation Strategy	80
4.6.1 <i>Agree Priorities</i>	80
4.6.2 <i>Prepare and Consult on Adaptation Strategy</i>	81
Step 4.7 Implement Adaptation Strategy	82
4.7.1 <i>Set-up Monitoring Programmes</i>	82
4.7.2 <i>Promote Research and Technical Studies</i>	83
Outcomes of Stage 4	83
 REFERENCES	84
Introduction.....	84
STAGE 1 Context and Objectives	84
STAGE 2 Climate Information	85
STAGE 3 Vulnerabilities and Risks	87
STAGE 4 Adaptation Options	89
 OVERVIEW OF CASE STUDIES	91
CASE STUDY 1 – Climate Change Adaptation in the Port of Rotterdam: Botlek Water Safety Pilot Project	92
CASE STUDY 2 – Port of Long Beach (USA) Climate Adaptation and Coastal Resiliency Plan.....	96
CASE STUDY 3 – The Port Authority of New York and New Jersey.....	101
CASE STUDY 4 – Port of London Authority (UK) Climate Change Adaptation Reporting.....	105

CASE STUDY 5 – Port of Providence (USA): Stakeholder Resilience Strategy and Vulnerability Assessment of Maritime Infrastructure.....	109
CASE STUDY 6 – Harwich Haven Authority (UK) Climate Adaptation Reporting.....	114
CASE STUDY 7 – Tuvalu Outer Island Maritime Infrastructure Project.....	117
CASE STUDY 8 – Risk Quantification for Sustaining Coastal Military Installation Assets and Mission Capabilities, Norfolk Naval Base, USA	121
CASE STUDY 9 – A Qualitative Climate Risk Assessment For Avatiu Port, Rarotonga, Cook Islands.....	125
CASE STUDY 10 – NSW Ports Climate Change Risk Assessment for two Maritime Ports: Port Botany and Port Kembla, Australia	130
CASE STUDY 11 – Climate Change Impacts on Coastal Transport Infrastructure in The Caribbean: Enhancing the Adaptive Capacity of Small Island Developing States (SIDS).....	134
CASE STUDY 12 – San Pedro Breakwater (Los Angeles, USA) Sea Level Rise Impact Assessment	140
CASE STUDY 13 – IFC'S Climate Risk and Ports Terminal Maritimo Muelles El Bosque, Cartagena, Colombia	144
CASE STUDY 14 – Albert Canal (Belgium): Pumping Installations/Water Power Plant	148
CASE STUDY 15 – MOWE IT – Management of Weather Events in the Transport System.....	151
CASE STUDY 16 – Port of Manzanillo	153
 Annex 1A – Template Inventory	158
Annex 1B – Template Stakeholders	158
Annex 1C – Effective Stakeholder Engagement: Value of a Facilitated Workshop	158
Annex 1D – Costs and Consequences of Extreme Weather Events	159
Annex 1E – Guiding Principles for Setting up a Fit-for-Purpose Monitoring and Data Management System	161
Annex 2A – Data Sources	163
Annex 2B – Meteorological, Hydrological and Oceanographic Monitoring	166
Annex 2C – Downscaling.....	168
Annex 3A – Levels of Risk Analysis	170
Annex 4 – Portfolio of Measures.....	172

TABLE OF FIGURES

Figure 1: Interactions between climate parameters and processes and representative port assets and operations	11
Figure 2: The four stages in the climate adaptation planning process	14
Figure 3: Stage 1 in the climate change adaptation planning process	15
Figure 4: Interdependencies between and within infrastructures within the system of the Port of Rotterdam [Bles et al., 2016]	16
Figure 5: Stage 2 in the climate change adaptation planning process	29
Figure 6: The December 2015 extreme rainfall event on the English River Tyne [Marsh et al. 2016]	35
Figure 7: Time series of global annual mean surface air temperature according to IPCC's RCOs	36
Figure 8: Stage 3 in the climate change adaptation planning process	43
Figure 9: Key steps in assessing vulnerability (over the planning horizon/under each scenario as appropriate)	44
Figure 10: Use of thresholds to identify potential climate hazards	49
Figure 11: Stage 4 in the climate change adaptation planning process	64
Figure 12: Retrofitting options for a breakwater or seawall [Howe and Cox, 2018]	73

TABLE OF TABLES

Table 1: Examples of changes in climate parameters being used for port adaptation planning purposes	12
Table 2: Snapshot of a completed template showing land-water interface assets and operations	17
Table 3: Example considerations for determining criticality	18
Table 4: Snapshot of the template showing the assessment of the criticality for the different assets and operations	19
Table 5: Snapshot from completed template illustrating susceptibility of critical assets and operations	21
Table 6: Examples of boundary conditions and constraints on adaptation studies	24
Table 7: Examples of climate change adaptation objectives	25
Table 8: Examples of typical relevant parameters and processes based on impact susceptibility	30
Table 9: Baseline data requirements and possible sources	33
Table 10: Climate scenarios developed as part of the IMPRESSIONS project exploring inter-dependent risks and opportunities posed by high levels of climate change [Holman et al., 2017]	37
Table 11: Overview of data analysis methods	41
Table 12: Relative comparison of approaches to assessing vulnerability	44

Table 13: Snapshot from completed template illustrating how supporting information used to determine adaptive capacity can be recorded	51
Table 14: Example presentation for vulnerability assessment outcomes in a seaport	53
Table 15: Snapshot from completed template illustrating current adaptive capacity	54
Table 16: Examples of determining and presenting risk likelihood	57
Table 17: Examples of determining risk consequence	59
Table 18: Typical presentation of risk assessment outcomes	60
Table 19: Generic measures for strengthening resilience or adapting assets, operations or systems	69
Table 20: Criteria for screening adaptation measures	74
Table 21: Example of screening outcomes	75
Table 22: Examples of phased measures in adaptation pathways	80

TABLE OF BOXES

Box 1: Critical assets and operations identified by Port of Long Beach, USA	19
Box 2: Port of New York and New Jersey experience in determining susceptibility	21
Box 3: Role of facilitated workshops in identifying climate change adaptation priorities	23
Box 4: Port of Providence, USA, strategic vulnerability and resilience assessment	23
Box 5: Highlighting socio-economic and environmental co-benefits and the role of ecosystem services	24
Box 6: Relevant climate parameters and processes for Port Botany and Port Kembla, Australia	31
Box 7: Data used in climate change impact and vulnerability assessments for seaports in Jamaica and Saint Lucia	38
Box 8: Example of the value of a long-term dataset	39
Box 9: Development of a low probability but plausible High ++ sea level rise scenario	39
Box 10: Percentage of the deviation of the ensemble mean regional relative sea level change between 1986-2005 and 2081-2100 from the global mean value [IPCC, 2013]	42
Box 11: Use of scenarios in determining the vulnerability of USA Defense Department critical coastal installations	46
Box 12: Operational thresholds approach for assessing the vulnerability of critical transportation assets in Jamaica and Saint Lucia	49
Box 13: Transport Canada use of a vulnerability assessment protocol	52
Box 14: Example of vulnerability assessment undertaken by Port of Long Beach, USA	54
Box 15: US Army Corps of Engineers advanced risk analysis for coastal military installations	56
Box 16: US Army Corps of Engineers advanced risk analysis for coastal military installations	56
Box 17: Climate change adaptation risk assessment for Terminal Maritimo Muelles El Bosque, Cartagena, Colombia	61
Box 18: Associated British Ports (UK) climate change adaptation risk assessment	62
Box 19: The so-called Climate-DYke of the German Federal State Schleswig-Holstein	66
Box 20: Understanding and preparing for the possible failure of a sea wall	67
Box 21: Accommodating extremes in wharf repair design, Lucinda Bulk Sugar Terminal, Australia	67
Box 22: Example of the value of operational and institutional measures to improve resilience	70
Box 23: Example of a structural solution to improving resilience	70
Box 24: An example of a win-win measure providing co-benefits to stakeholders	72
Box 25: EU Guidebooks for strengthening the resilience of European Inland and Maritime Navigation	73
Box 26: Cost-benefit assessment in the evaluation of retrofitting options for rubble mound breakwaters	77
Box 27: Cost-effectiveness analysis outcomes of adaptation options at Port of Manzanillo	78
Box 28: Accommodating deeply uncertain climate change projections to evaluate the costs and benefits of infrastructure upgrading at Port of Los Angeles	78
Box 29: Prioritisation of adaptation action by Pot of Long Beach, USA	81
Box 30: Working with stakeholders to develop an adaptation strategy	82