



PIANC

InCom WG Report
n° 166 - 2018



INFLATABLE STRUCTURES IN HYDRAULIC ENGINEERING

The World Association for Waterborne Transport Infrastructure



PIANC
The World Association for
Waterborne Transport Infrastructure

PIANC REPORT N° 166
INLAND NAVIGATION COMMISSION

**INFLATABLE STRUCTURES
IN HYDRAULIC ENGINEERING**

2018

PIANC has Technical Commissions concerned with inland waterways and ports (InCom), coastal and ocean waterways (including ports and harbours) (MarCom), environmental aspects (EnviCom) and sport and pleasure navigation (RecCom).

This report has been produced by an international Working Group convened by the Inland Navigation Commission (InCom). Members of the Working Group represent several countries and are acknowledged experts in their profession.

The objective of this report is to provide information and recommendations on good practice. Conformity is not obligatory and engineering judgement should be used in its application, especially in special circumstances. This report should be seen as an expert guidance and state-of-the-art on this particular subject. PIANC disclaims all responsibil-

PIANC Secrétariat Général
Boulevard du Roi Albert II 20, B 3
B-1000 Bruxelles
Belgique

<http://www.pianc.org>

VAT BE 408-287-945

ISBN 978-2-87223-254-3

© All rights reserved

TABLE OF CONTENTS

WORKING GROUP 166	1
Working Group Members	1
Corresponding Members	2
Meetings of the Working Group	2
Acknowledgements	2
1 INTRODUCTION AND EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	4
1.1 History and Development	4
1.2 Aims of WG 166 and Scope of the Report	5
1.3 Relevance of Inflatable Structures for Waterways	6
2 OVERVIEW	10
2.1 History of Inflatable Gates	10
2.1.1 Inflatable Gates in Asia	10
2.1.2 Inflatable Gates in America	11
2.1.3 Inflatable Gates in Europe	12
2.1.4 Inflatable Gates in Other Continents	15
2.2 Definition	16
2.2.1 Rubber Gate	16
2.2.2 Steel-Rubber Gate	17
2.3 Main Use of Inflatable Gates	19
2.4 Useful Dimensions	23
2.5 A Comparison of Inflatable Gates and Flap Gates	24
2.5.1 Advantages and Disadvantages	24
2.5.2 Decision Support	25
2.6 Range of Application and Filling Media of Existing Installations	29
3 MATERIALS & MANUFACTURING	32
3.1 General	32
3.2 Materials	33
3.2.1 Rubber Membrane	33
3.2.2 Air Bladder for Steel-Rubber Gate	33
3.2.3 Raw Materials	34
3.2.4 Uncured Rubber-Coated Fabric Material	35
3.2.5 Pre-Cured Rubber-Coated Fabric Material	37
3.2.6 Uni-Directional Cord	38
3.3 Equipment	39
3.3.1 Autoclave	39
3.3.2 Beam Press (Continuous Vulcanisation)	40
3.3.3 Beam Press (Joints Only)	41
3.4 Special Manufacturing	42
3.4.1 Fin-Type (Book-End) Membrane	42
3.4.2 Anti-Vandalism Measures	45
3.5 Post Manufacture and Logistics	47
3.5.1 General	47
3.5.2 Membranes	47
3.5.3 Air Bladders for Steel-Rubber Gates	49
3.5.4 Quality Control	51
4 GENERAL CONSIDERATIONS	54
4.1 General Function and Application	54
4.1.1 Rubber Gates	54
4.1.2 Steel-Rubber Gates	55
4.2 Choice of Filling Medium	55
4.2.1 Rubber Gates	55
4.2.2 Steel-Rubber Gates	56
4.3 Sedimentation	56
4.3.1 Rubber Gates	56
4.3.2 Steel-Rubber Gates	57
4.4 Vandalism and Terrorism	58
4.4.1 Rubber Gates	58
4.4.2 Steel-Rubber Gates	62
4.5 Environmental Issues	63

4.5.1	Water Pollution	63
4.5.2	Downstream Fish Passage	63
4.5.3	Global Warming Potential	63
5	DESIGN OF RUBBER GATES	67
5.1	Design Considerations	67
5.1.1	Boundary Conditions	67
5.1.2	Design Constraints	71
5.2	Design Approach to Rubber Fabric	71
5.2.1	Japanese Standard	71
5.2.2	Approach for the Storm Surge Barrier Ramspol	75
5.2.3	Possibilities and Limits to Combine the Japanese and the Eurocode Standard	79
5.2.4	Recommendations for Applying the Eurocodes	81
5.2.5	Recommendations for Finite Element Methods	83
5.3	Clamping System	86
5.3.1	Examples of Clamping Systems	86
5.3.2	Application Tests for Clamping Systems	86
5.4	Hydraulic Design	87
5.4.1	V-Notch Phenomenon	87
5.4.2	Discharge Functions	89
5.4.3	Causes of Vibrations	92
6	DESIGN OF STEEL-RUBBER GATES	99
6.1	Design Considerations	99
6.1.1	Boundary Conditions	99
6.1.2	Design Constraints	99
6.2	Design Approach	100
6.2.1	Applicable Standards	100
6.2.2	Terminology	100
6.2.3	Superstructure	102
6.2.4	Safety Factors	103
6.2.5	2-D Cross Sectional Model	104
6.2.6	Bladder Design	107
6.2.7	Gate Panel Design	108
6.2.8	Flap Design	110
6.2.9	Restraining Strap Design	110
6.2.10	Design of Main Anchoring	111
6.3	Finite Element Analysis	112
6.3.1	Load Cases	112
6.4	Example	112
6.4.1	Rubber Bladder	112
6.4.2	Gate Panel	114
6.4.3	Clamp Casting	115
6.5	Hydraulic Design	116
6.5.1	Discharge Functions	116
6.5.2	Causes of Vibrations	119
7	SUBSTRUCTURE	122
7.1	General	122
7.2	Rubber Gate	122
7.2.1	Design of the Weir Sill	122
7.2.1	Stilling Basin	125
7.2.2	Design of Weir Piers and Abutments	126
7.3	Steel-Rubber Gate	128
7.3.1	Design of the Weir Sill	128
7.3.2	Stilling Basin	129
7.3.3	Design of Weir Piers and Abutments	129
8	MECHANICAL AND ELECTRICAL EQUIPMENT	130
8.1	General Discussion and Overview	130
8.2	Air-filled Structures	131
8.2.1	Considerations for Rubber Gates	132
8.2.2	Considerations for Steel-Rubber Gates	133
8.2.3	Considerations for Air-Filled Rubber Gates and Steel-Rubber Gates	133
8.3	Water-filled Rubber Gate	135
8.4	Control and Automation for Air-filled Structures	139
8.4.1	Considerations for Steel-Rubber Gates	140

8.4.2	Considerations for Rubber Gates.....	141
8.5	Control and Automation for Water-Filled Structures	142
8.6	Heating System for Water-Filled or Air-Filled Inflatable Gates.....	143
8.6.1	Considerations for Steel-Rubber Gates	143
8.6.2	Considerations for Rubber Gates.....	143
9	CONSTRUCTION, COMMISSIONING AND WARRANTY.....	144
9.1	Introduction.....	144
9.2	Construction	144
9.2.1	General.....	144
9.2.2	Substructure	149
9.2.3	Superstructure	154
9.3	Commissioning.....	156
9.3.1	Substructure.....	156
9.3.2	Superstructure	156
9.4	Warranty.....	158
10	OPERATION & MAINTENANCE.....	159
10.1	Operation.....	159
10.1.1	General.....	159
10.1.2	Basic Operating Functions, Purposes and Operating Actions	160
10.1.3	Regular Operating Procedure	163
10.1.4	Operating for Exceptional and Irregular Situations	164
10.2	Inspection	165
10.3	Maintenance.....	168
10.4	Bladder Repair Techniques	170
10.5	Lifetime Monitoring and Replacement	172
10.6	Example of Repair Works at Palmer Falls	173
10.7	Operation and Maintenance Case Studies	174
11	REFERENCES	177

APPENDIX

Appendix A:	Case Studies	180
Appendix B:	Project Reviews	217
Appendix C:	Global Warming Potential.....	219
Appendix D:	Finite Element Method (FEM) Considerations & Recommendations.....	223
Appendix E:	Construction Works	238
Appendix F:	Replacement of existing Infrastructure	244
Appendix G:	Testing Coon Rapids Dam.....	247
Appendix H:	Description of a Failure in Northern France	255
Appendix I:	Japanese Standard [MLIT, 2015b].....	257

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1:	A comparison of rubber gates, steel-rubber gates and flap gates regarding hydraulic behaviour, constraints, operation and maintenance issues	26
Table 2.2:	Typical range of inflatable gates, except Japan [Voies Navigables de France, 2012]	30
Table 2.3:	Typical range of inflatable gates in Japan based on JADWEE (2013).....	31
Table 3.1:	Specification of rubber for use in the manufacture of rubber gates.....	51
Table 3.2:	Specification of rubber-coated fabric for use in the manufacture of rubber gates	52
Table 4.1:	Global Warming Potential for three reference gates (segment, flap and water-filled rubber gate)	66
Table 5.1:	Discharge coefficients for air- and water-filled rubber gates according to JICE (2000).....	90
Table 6.1:	Tensile strength of the fabric material.....	112
Table 6.2:	Maximum principle stress in each ply of the bladder	113
Table 6.3:	Gate panel material properties and limit stresses	114

Table 6.4:	Gate panel material properties and limit stresses	115
Table 7.1:	Recommendations for the fixing height R of the clamping line for vertical (left) and inclined (right) abutments according to JICE (2000)	127
Table 9.1:	Specifications of reinforced rubber membranes (see also project reviews in Appendix B)	146
Table 9.2:	Critical technical control points during the construction phase.....	149
Table 10.1:	Standard examples of items, contents and frequency of inspections on inflatable gates, summary from available operation & maintenance manuals and from Japan Association of Dam & Weir Equipment Engineering	167
Table 10.2:	Overview of rubber and steel-rubber gates which are discussed in the case studies (Appendix A)	175

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1:	Members of Working Group 166	3
Figure 1.1:	Applications of inflatable gates at waterways.....	5
Figure 2.1:	Inflatable gates around the world	10
Figure 2.2:	Installation records of inflatable gates in Japan between 1964 and 2013 by JADWEE	11
Figure 2.3:	Air-filled rubber gate at Curtis Dam, USA	12
Figure 2.4:	Rubber gate at Gapeau River, France which was installed in 1970 and renewed in 2001	12
Figure 2.5:	Water-filled rubber gate in Villers-devant-Mouzon, France: principle of rubber body (left) and cross section (right).....	13
Figure 2.6:	Cross section of a water-filled rubber gate as redundancy upstream of a roller gate in Hollerich, Germany	14
Figure 2.7:	Shell-weir as flood barrier (length 28.0 m, height 7.0 m) at Weespertrekvaart Channel, the Netherlands	14
Figure 2.8:	Rubber gate replaced a former shell-weir at Weespertrekvaart Channel, the Netherlands [Water & Wastewater Treatment, 2016].....	15
Figure 2.9:	Main elements of a rubber gate	16
Figure 2.10:	Anchoring systems of rubber gates depending on the site constraints	17
Figure 2.11:	Air-filled rubber gate at Coon Rapids Dam, USA (left) and water-filled rubber gate at Marklendorf Dam, Germany (right)	17
Figure 2.12:	Main elements of a steel-rubber gate	18
Figure 2.13:	Steel-rubber gate applications in USA (left) and France (right).....	18
Figure 2.14:	Steel-rubber gate with sections stacked on top of each other in Guiyang, China	19
Figure 2.15:	Air-filled rubber gate for hydropower at Kiebingen Dam, Germany.....	19
Figure 2.16:	Air-filled rubber gate for irrigation at Kamo weir, Japan	20
Figure 2.17:	Water-filled rubber gate for navigation at Bannetze Dam, Germany.....	20
Figure 2.18:	Steel-rubber gate for flood protection in Pistoia, Italy	21
Figure 2.19:	Steel-rubber gate on spillway of Nacimiento Dam, USA.....	21
Figure 2.20:	Air-filled rubber gate as tidal barrier on Doki river, Japan	22
Figure 2.21:	Rubber gate for leisure activities in Alès, France.....	22
Figure 2.22:	Steel-rubber gate against toxic algae in Viareggio, Italy	23
Figure 2.23:	Cross section of a three-span weir with slope sidewalls for rubber gates.....	23
Figure 2.24:	Cross section of a three-span weir with vertical sidewalls for steel-rubber gates	24
Figure 2.25:	Typical cross sections of rubber and steel-rubber gates.....	24
Figure 2.26:	Flap gate in raised position – hydraulic cylinder for gate operation (left) and view from downstream (right).....	25
Figure 2.27:	Example for a smaller rubber gate in Canow, Germany (water-filled, height 1.1 m, length 6.0 m).....	29
Figure 2.28:	Example for a large rubber gate at Kurotani Dam, Japan (air-filled, height 6.0 m, length 34.5 m, courtesy of Marsima Aqua system).....	30
Figure 3.1:	Laminating a rubber gate membrane	33

Figure 3.2:	Section through steel-rubber gate bladder material	34
Figure 3.3:	Flow diagram illustrating steps in Sumitomo Electric's membrane manufacturing process (courtesy of Sumitomo Electric).....	35
Figure 3.4:	Layout of strips of rubber-coated fabric material to form a single membrane.	35
Figure 3.5:	Process of laminating a rubber membrane. Note staggering of strips on second layer	36
Figure 3.6:	Completed membrane being rolled onto a mandrel for curing in an autoclave. The autoclave can be seen in background	36
Figure 3.7:	Membrane being vulcanised in a continuous process through a beam press	37
Figure 3.8:	Completed steel-rubber gate bladder manufactured using woven fabric and vulcanised in autoclave.....	37
Figure 3.9:	Joining of pre-cured strips of rubber-coated fabric.....	38
Figure 3.10:	Hot vulcanising pre-cured strips of rubber-coated fabric.....	38
Figure 3.11:	Typical layout of uni-directional cord for construction of a steel-rubber gate bladder	39
Figure 3.12:	Completed rubber gate membrane is rolled onto a mandrel before being placed in the autoclave (courtesy of Dyrhoff Ltd. and Sumitomo Electric)	40
Figure 3.13:	5.0 m diameter autoclave for steel-rubber gate bladder curing. A trolley is used to roll the form and bladder into the autoclave.....	40
Figure 3.14:	15.0 m wide beam press.....	41
Figure 3.15:	Small, movable press that is used to join pre-cured strips of rubber-coated fabric. Note plastic film over coloured rubber membrane	42
Figure 3.16:	Close up of fin (deflector) on rubber gate	42
Figure 3.17:	Fin-type Bridgestone rubber gates (courtesy of Dyrhoff Ltd.)	43
Figure 3.18:	Diagram showing manufacturing method for Bridgestone rubber gate	43
Figure 3.19:	Bridgestone rubber gate showing location of joint	44
Figure 3.20:	Cross section of fin structure (courtesy Dyrhoff Ltd. and Qingdao Huaxia).....	44
Figure 3.21:	Cross section of Bridgestone rubber gate membrane showing ceramic chip outer protective layer	45
Figure 3.22:	Sample of Bridgestone membrane with ceramic chip later showing separation	46
Figure 3.23:	Sample of stainless steel wire mesh used by Sumitomo Electric as a membrane outer protective layer	46
Figure 3.24:	Rubber gate with stainless steel wire mesh outer protective layer after 12 years operation.....	47
Figure 3.25:	Membranes for two 4 m high x 33 m long rubber gates after delivery to project site. The membranes were placed on steel cradles to enable the sheet to be transported in 45 ft containers. Each membrane weighs approx. 10 t.....	48
Figure 3.26:	Membrane for 4.5 m high rubber gate packed and ready for transport from Europe to Canada	49
Figure 3.27:	Fin-type membranes for a 2 m high x 23 m long rubber gate (four spans plus spare) folded, wrapped and strapped at the factory ready for loading into open-top containers for delivery to site.....	49
Figure 3.28:	Factory air leakage test on a fully-sealed air rubber	50
Figure 3.29:	Handling a large air bladder at the factory	50
Figure 3.30:	Bladders for a 2.0 m high steel-rubber gate being unloaded from a container for transport to site	51
Figure 3.31:	Tensile strength testing during quality control inspection.....	52
Figure 3.32:	Samples prepared for tensile strength and rubber to fabric adhesion testing in normal condition	53
Figure 4.1:	Rubber gate with variable (a) and with constant upstream water level (b).....	55
Figure 4.2:	Air-inflated rubber gate on River Besos, Barcelona (Spain), 1.20 m in height and 42.60 m in width.....	56
Figure 4.3:	Sedimentation load on a steel-rubber gate, which is covered about one third of the total width in an experiment	57
Figure 4.4:	Experimental Results of the sedimentation test on an existing steel-rubber gate	58
Figure 4.5:	Leakage at a water-filled rubber gate on Regnitz River in Germany.....	59
Figure 4.6:	Water-filled rubber gate destroyed by vandals in Freemont, USA	60

Figure 4.7:	Sample for tensile test with bullet hole (left) and micrograph of a bullet hole (right) by Maisner et al. (2012).....	60
Figure 4.8:	Schematic of the test rig (left), knife (centre) and sample on plasticine block (right) by Maisner et al. (2012).....	61
Figure 4.9:	Warning signs and fencing of a dam site	62
Figure 4.10:	Schematic behaviour of a leaking air-filled rubber gate: internal pressure (upper) and dam height (lower picture) according to Bridgestone Corporation (n.D.a)	62
Figure 4.11:	Examples of overflow gates where fish passage might be a problem: radial gate with an independently movable flap-gate (left), roller gate (centre) and rubber gate (right)	63
Figure 4.12:	Comparison of gate weights (height $h = 2.5$ m) in dependence of the span width.....	65
Figure 5.1:	Deflated rubber gate in Kranj, Slovenia with coloured rubber membranes (water-filled, 5 weir spans each 50 m in width and 1.10 m in height).....	68
Figure 5.2:	Rubber gate at Saalach River, Germany with rocks downstream of the weir (water-filled, 2 weir spans each 25.6 m in width and 1.00 m in height)	69
Figure 5.3:	Storm Surge Barrier Ramspol, the Netherlands with a ship passing over a deflated membrane.....	71
Figure 5.4:	Different zones as considered in the Japanese standard [JICE, 1983a] – Rubberised fabric for tube (zone 1) and watertight/airtight sheet (zone 2).....	72
Figure 5.5:	Geometry of water- (left) and air-filled rubber gates (right) as a function of the internal pressure coefficient α	73
Figure 5.6:	Result of creep tests on rubber fabric according to JICE (2000b).....	73
Figure 5.7:	Result of aging tests on rubber fabric according to JICE (2000b).....	74
Figure 5.8:	Storm Surge Barrier Ramspol – The mesh used to perform a dynamic calculation on a rubber gate (2D)	76
Figure 5.9:	Storm Surge Barrier Ramspol – results of a 3-D-calculation of a rubber gate	76
Figure 5.10:	Storm Surge Barrier, Ramspol – Stress concentrations near the clamping line.....	77
Figure 5.11:	Storm Surge Barrier Ramspol – example of FEM calculations for the same design but different material parameters	84
Figure 5.12:	Storm Surge Barrier Ramspol – Phenomenon of bifurcation in FEM and scale model.....	85
Figure 5.13:	Examples of clamping systems.....	86
Figure 5.14:	Air-filled rubber gates with v-notch: Türkheim, Germany (left) and Abrantes, Portugal (right)	88
Figure 5.15:	Flow over a water-filled (a) and an air-filled rubber gate (b) under similar boundary conditions in a physical model [Gebhardt, 2006]	88
Figure 5.16:	Internal pressure head $P/\rho g H$ vs. ratio of overflow depth h and gate height H when v-notch is occurring [JICE, 2000b].....	89
Figure 5.17:	Comparison of discharge coefficients $C_q = f(h/h_1)$ for air-filled rubber gates according to JICE (2000b), JICE (1983a), Tam (1998a) and Anwar (1967): determined by Poleni's formula.....	90
Figure 5.18:	Discharge coefficients $C_q = f(h/h_1)$ for a water-filled rubber gate according to Anwar (1967): determined according to Poleni's formula for different internal pressure coefficients α , aerated (trip rod) and not aerated, gate height $h_d = 305$ mm (12 in) with $\alpha = 2.50$ und $h_d = 229$ mm (9 in) with $\alpha = 1.33$ while h_d is kept constant.....	91
Figure 5.19:	Scale model (1:30) of a water-filled rubber gate in Beihingen, Germany (courtesy of Bundesanstalt für Wasserbau).....	91
Figure 5.20:	Numerical model and computational grid of a rubber gate partly deflated	92
Figure 5.21:	Comparison of discharge coefficients for water-inflated rubber gates: scale models (B) Beihingen [BAW, 2014], (M) Marklendorf [Gebhardt, 2006] and (O) numerical OpenFOAM model [Rudolph, 2013] while h_1 is kept constant.....	92
Figure 5.22:	Types of vibrations at rubber gates: vibrations of the nappe (A) according to Chanson (1996a); vibrations due to pressure fluctuations (B) according to Muramatsu and Ogihara (1985) and Chanson (1997b); vibrations due to uplift forces (C) and vibrations of the deflated membrane (D) according to Chervet (1984).....	94
Figure 5.23:	Countermeasures against vibrations [JICE, 1983a].....	95
Figure 5.24:	Vibration (acceleration g) in dependence of the upstream water level H_u/H and for two types of air-filled rubber gates (above) and for different fin lengths (below) according to Muramatsu and Ogihara (1985).....	95

Figure 5.25:	Cross section of an air-filled rubber gate (fin-type) introduced by Bridgestone (courtesy of Bridgestone Corporation).....	96
Figure 5.26:	Air-filled rubber gate (fin-type) at Kiebingen Dam, Germany	96
Figure 5.27:	Water-filled rubber gate with breakers in Bahnitz, Germany.....	97
Figure 5.28:	Examples of countermeasures against vibrations like the breaker principle: in Switzerland (courtesy of Hydro-Solar Energie AG); in Lechbruck, Germany; in China (courtesy of Qingdao Kingstone Industry Co., Ltd. and Zaoqiang.....	98
Figure 6.1:	Parts of steel-rubber gate	101
Figure 6.2:	Typical layout a steel-rubber gate.....	102
Figure 6.3:	Two-dimensional cross-sectional model	104
Figure 6.4:	Contact area between bladder and gate panel	106
Figure 6.5:	Virtual gate width	106
Figure 6.6:	Size/diameter of air bladder	108
Figure 6.7:	Loading on gate panel	108
Figure 6.8:	Deflection of gate panel	109
Figure 6.9:	Worst load condition for design of restraining strap	110
Figure 6.10:	Total loads on main anchoring system (Y-direction).....	111
Figure 6.11:	Total loads on main anchoring system (X-direction).....	112
Figure 6.12:	FEA results for bladder plies 1, 2, 7 and 8.....	114
Figure 6.13:	Load case (1): maximum principle stress 148 N/mm ² ; (2) 226 N/mm ² and (3) 209 N/mm ²	115
Figure 6.14:	Load case 1: stresses on upper and lower surfaces.....	116
Figure 6.15:	Stresses on upper surface: load case 2 (left) and load case 3 (right)	116
Figure 6.16:	Discharge coefficient c_q for a fully aerated nappe for a straight surface	117
Figure 6.17:	Discharge coefficient c_q for a fully aerated nappe for a curved surface according to Castro-Delgado (1983)	118
Figure 6.18:	Schematic cross section of (a) steel-rubber gate and broad-crested weir at McHenry and (b) steel-rubber gate and ogee spillway at Algonquin, Fox River, Illinois].....	119
Figure 6.19:	Discharge coefficient C_q for free-weir-flow over steel-rubber gates based on measurements of the U.S. Geological Survey (Straub et al., 2009) at McHenry and Algonquin, Illinois on Fox River (b/h = 15.24 m/2.0 m)	119
Figure 6.20:	Nappe breakers on a steel-rubber gate (Auxonne Dam, France)	120
Figure 6.21:	Dimensionless internal pressure head $P/\rho gH$ depending on the overflow depth h/H according to JICE (2007). Note, the black markers indicate pressure fluctuations	121
Figure 7.1:	Restoration of Weir Ebenhofen, Germany: Installation of an air-filled rubber gate on an existing ogee crest weir in 1998 (b/h = 25.0 m/2.90 m)	122
Figure 7.2:	Typical weir sill geometries of rubber gates: (a) navigable weir sill; (b) flat weir sill; (c) weir sill with vertical drop and (d) weir sill with rounded drop.....	123
Figure 7.3:	Flow conditions above a broad-crested weir (courtesy of BAW).....	124
Figure 7.4:	Design chart to estimate afflux $\Delta y/y_2$ versus dimensionless sill height y_2/w and Froude number F_2 according to Gebhardt et al. (2013c).....	125
Figure 7.5:	Design proposal for the transition between weir sill and stilling basin for weir Lechbruck [Huber & Strobl, 1999]	126
Figure 7.6:	Weir sill with rounded drop and baffles on the slope at weir Lechbruck, Germany	126
Figure 7.7:	Cross section of an air-filled rubber gate with recesses for the clamping line (based on a design of Dyrhoff Ltd.).....	127
Figure 7.8:	Definition sketch of the fixing height R according to JICE (2000)	127
Figure 7.9:	Typical weir sill geometries of steel-rubber gates: (a) inclined weir sill; (b) flat weir sill; (c) curved weir sill and (d) stepped weir sill (courtesy of BAW)	128
Figure 8.1:	Steel-rubber gate at Lawrence Dam, USA.....	130
Figure 8.2:	Air-filled rubber gate at Curtis Dam, USA	130
Figure 8.3:	Compressor, air piping, valves at Kiebingen Dam, Germany.....	131
Figure 8.4:	Air blower for a rubber gate at Highgate Falls, USA	132
Figure 8.5:	Pressure type access door at Highgate Falls, USA	132
Figure 8.6:	Rotary screw compressor at Auxonne Dam, France	133

Figure 8.7:	Control building (supply shown in foreground) at Curtis Dam, USA.....	134
Figure 8.8:	Air manifold detail at Highgate Falls, USA	134
Figure 8.9:	Rubber gate supply piping with check valve at Ramspol Dam, the Netherlands	135
Figure 8.10:	Air piping system and manifold at Ramspol Dam, the Netherlands	135
Figure 8.11:	Water-filled rubber gate at Bannetze Dam, Germany	136
Figure 8.12:	Design Schematics of a water-filled rubber gate.....	138
Figure 8.13:	Pumps and supply piping of a water-filled rubber gate at Bannetze Dam, Germany	139
Figure 8.14:	Air blowers and solenoid valves at Adam T. Bower Dam, USA	139
Figure 8.15:	Bridgestone control panel at Highgate Falls, USA	139
Figure 8.16:	Pneumatic control system at Auxonne Dam, France	140
Figure 8.17:	Computer control screens at Adam T. Bower Dam, USA (left) and Palmer Falls, USA (right)	141
Figure 8.18:	Water-inflated control schematic at Bannetze Dam, Germany	142
Figure 9.1:	Unit weight (kg/m ²) of a reinforced rubber membrane as a function of total membrane thickness (mm). Data corresponds to Table 2.3 and Table 2.4 in JIID (1986) and covers rubber membranes consisting of 2 to 6 plies	145
Figure 9.2:	Rubber membrane rolled on a steel drum.....	147
Figure 9.3:	Pre-folded membrane sheet rolled on a steel drum, covered with a protective sheet ready for shipping	147
Figure 9.4:	Use of a metal frame to position and hold main anchor threads of a steel-rubber gate during concrete pouring.....	150
Figure 9.5:	Illustration of a recess in the concrete floor of a rubber gate	151
Figure 9.6:	Illustration of a form pan combined with embedded steel profiles to obtain a clamp specific profiling of the floor	151
Figure 9.7:	Membrane sheet on a concrete side wall of a pier.....	152
Figure 9.8:	Abutment plate installation of a steel-rubber gate (courtesy of Dyrhoff Ltd.).....	152
Figure 9.9:	Flange recess in concrete showing shallow and smooth sloping sides	153
Figure 9.10:	Additional rubber membranes on weir sill at Bahnitz Dam, Germany	153
Figure 9.11:	Lifting of (a) a rubber bladder for a steel-rubber gate (courtesy of Obermeyer Hydro, Inc.); (b) rubber membrane for a rubber gate; (c) unrolling a rubber membrane.....	154
Figure 9.12:	Applying polysulphide sealant in the clamp line of a rubber gate	155
Figure 9.13:	Air leakage test of a clamp line of a rubber gate using a soap-water mixture	158
Figure 10.1:	Water-filled rubber gate at Marklendorf Dam, Germany	159
Figure 10.2:	Operational area of a water-filled rubber gate (left) and 'step' into the machine room.....	159
Figure 10.3:	Rubber gate in Bahnitz, Germany - Operational data between 01/01/2009 and 31/12/2009: a) total discharge Q; b) reference water level h_{ref} , upstream and downstream water level h_1 and h_2 ; c) internal pressure head h_i versus gate height h_d ; d) deviation between reference water level h_{ref} and upstream water level h_1	161
Figure 10.4:	Supply and exhaust piping and valves of an air-filled system: former (left) and latest design (right).....	162
Figure 10.5:	Air-release systems for water-filled rubber gates: (a) optical control in Marklendorf, Germany and simpler solutions with (b) manual outlets in Lechbruck, Germany and (c) air vents in Azmak, Turkey.....	163
Figure 10.6:	Control screens of a rubber gate in Marklendorf, Germany (left) and of a steel-rubber gate in Le Bosche, Italy (right)	164
Figure 10.7:	Steel-rubber gate as a spillway gate in Le Bosche, Italy.....	164
Figure 10.8:	Stop logs are used during inspection at Bahnitz Dam, Germany.....	166
Figure 10.9:	Safety wire for inspection at Curtis Dam, USA.....	166
Figure 10.10:	Overall inspection of a rubber gate at Bannetze Dam, Germany.....	168
Figure 10.11:	Stoplog system (needle weir) and replacement of joint sealings between steel panels on Auxonne dam, France	169
Figure 10.12:	Rubber gate passing a flood at Coon Rapids Dam, USA (left) and a tree which passed the weir at Türkheim, Germany (right)	170
Figure 10.13:	Repair patches on rubber membranes	170

Figure 10.14:	Repair techniques for different types of damage to the rubber body according to Zhang et al. (2002).....	171
Figure 10.15:	Equipment for small size damages	171
Figure 10.16:	Test samples at Storm Surge Barrier Ramspol, the Netherlands	173
Figure 10.17:	Blister close to the fin which could be observed during a site visit in July 2015 at Palmer Falls, USA.....	173
Figure 10.18:	Repair works in September 2016 at Palmer Falls, USA: (a) tear in rubber membrane closed to the fin; (b) installing a patch on a temporary work platform; (c) patch preparation inside; (d) patch preparation outside.....	174