



PIANC

MarCom WG Report n° 161 - 2018



INTERACTION BETWEEN OFFSHORE WIND FARMS AND MARITIME NAVIGATION

The World Association for Waterborne Transport Infrastructure



PIANC
The World Association for
Waterborne Transport Infrastructure

PIANC REPORT N° 161
MARITIME NAVIGATION COMMISSION

**INTERACTION BETWEEN OFFSHORE
WIND FARMS AND MARITIME NAVIGATION**

2018

PIANC has Technical Commissions concerned with inland waterways and ports (InCom), coastal and ocean waterways (including ports and harbours) (MarCom), environmental aspects (EnviCom) and sport and pleasure navigation (RecCom).

This report has been produced by an international Working Group convened by the Maritime Navigation Commission (MarCom). Members of the Working Group represent several countries and are acknowledged experts in their profession.

The objective of this report is to provide information and recommendations on good practice. Conformity is not obligatory and engineering judgement should be used in its application, especially in special circumstances. This report should be seen as an expert guidance and state-of-the-art on this particular subject. PIANC disclaims all responsibility in the event that this report should be presented as an official standard.

PIANC Secrétariat Général
Boulevard du Roi Albert II 20, B 3
B-1000 Bruxelles
Belgique

<http://www.pianc.org>

VAT BE 408-287-945

ISBN 978-2-87223-250-5

© All rights reserved

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1	GENERAL ASPECTS.....	4
1.1	Scope	4
1.2	Introduction.....	4
1.2.1	Terms of Reference	4
1.2.2	Structure of the Report.....	5
1.2.3	Related PIANC Reports	6
1.2.4	Members of the Working Group	6
1.2.5	Meetings	6
1.2.6	Acknowledgements.....	6
2	IDENTIFICATION OF INTERACTIONS.....	7
2.1	Marine Spatial Planning (MSP).....	7
2.1.1	What is Marine Spatial Planning	7
2.1.2	Which Part of MSP Do We Cover?	8
2.2	Maritime Emergency Planning (MEP).....	9
2.2.1	Contingency Planning	9
2.2.2	What Kind of Emergency Do We Cover?	10
3	LEGAL BACKGROUND	12
3.1	International References.....	12
3.1.1	UN (UNCLOS)	12
3.1.2	UNESCO (MSP)	12
3.1.3	IMO (SOLAS, COLREGs, GPSR, ...).....	12
3.1.4	ITU (RR)	13
3.1.5	ICAO (ICA Convention Annex 14).....	13
3.2	International Recommendations	13
3.2.1	UNCLOS.....	14
3.2.2	IMO	15
3.2.3	ICAO (ICA Convention Annex 14) and CAA	17
4	NAVIGATION CONSTRAINTS, COLLISION AVOIDANCE & MARINE NAVIGATIONAL MARKING	19
4.1	Elements.....	19
4.1.1	Ships.....	19
4.1.2	Marine Traffic.....	19
4.1.3	Geometric Configuration of the Water (Hydrographic)	20
4.1.4	Aids to Navigation	20
4.1.5	Maritime and Atmospheric Conditions (Hydrodynamics)	21
4.1.6	Pilotage, Escorting and Towing Requirements	21
4.2	Processes in Safety Distance Estimation	21
4.2.1	Concept Design	22
4.2.2	Detailed Design.....	25
4.2.3	Risk Assessment	26
5	ELECTROMAGNETIC RADIATIONS (EMR)	29
5.1	General Introduction to Electromagnetic Radiation	29
5.1.1	What Is Electromagnetic Radiation?	29
5.1.2	What Do Wind Farms Have To Do With Electromagnetic Radiation?.....	29
5.1.3	What Are Electromagnetic Radiation Interferences?	29
5.1.4	How Can Electromagnetic Radiation Issues Be Managed?.....	29
5.2	Principles to Prevent Radar Interference.....	30
5.2.1	Civil Aviation Radars and Systems	30
5.2.2	National Defence Radars	31
5.2.3	Weather Radars.....	31
5.2.4	VTS Radars	32
5.2.5	Ships Radars	34
5.3	Radio Communications.....	35
5.4	Radio Direction Finder (RDF)	38
5.5	Other Navigation Systems	38
5.5.1	GNSS.....	38
5.5.2	Local Radio Navigation Systems	39
5.5.3	Magnetic Compass	39

6	EMERGENCY PROCEDURES	40
6.1	Introduction.....	40
6.2	General Concepts.....	40
6.2.1	People – Search And Rescue (SAR)	41
6.2.2	Planet Environment – Pollution (Planet)	43
6.2.3	Property – Salvage (Materials).....	43
6.2.4	Professions – Socio-Economic Impact – Business	44
7	SUMMARY OF RECOMMENDATIONS	46
7.1	Important Notice	46
7.2	General Recommendations	46
7.2.1	Identification of Interactions	46
7.2.2	Legal Background.....	46
7.2.3	Navigation Constraints, Collision Avoidance and Marine Navigational Marking	46
7.2.4	Electromagnetic Radiations (EMR).....	47
7.2.5	Emergency Procedures	48
7.3	Extra Notice	48
	APPENDIX A: REFERENCES	51
	APPENDIX B: GLOSSARY	53
	APPENDIX C: TERMS OF REFERENCE OF WG 161	54
	Historical Background – Definition of the Problem.....	54
	Objective and Product of the Study	54
	Appendix D: CURRENT PRACTICE IN THE NETHERLANDS	56
	Introduction.....	56
	Design Criterion: Distance Between Shipping Routes and Wind Farms.....	56
	Passage and Multiple Use	57
	Design Process: Distance Between Mining Sites and Wind Farms	57
	Appendix E: CURRENT PRACTICE IN JAPAN	59
	Introduction.....	59
	Separation from Water Area Facilities	59
	Example of Project: The Offshore Wind Farms Plan in Port of Kitakyushu.....	61
	Appendix F: CURRENT PRACTICE IN FRANCE	62
	Background and Purpose of the Note.....	62
	Maritime Navigation: Minimum Safety Distance Between an OWF and Maritime Traffic.....	63
	Aids to Navigation in the Vicinity of Offshore Wind Farms.....	65
	VHF Radio Communications.....	65
	ANNEX 1	67
	ANNEX 2	68
	ANNEX 3	69
	APPENDIX G: CURRENT PRACTICE IN SWEDEN.....	71
	Introduction.....	71
	APPENDIX H: CURRENT PRACTICE IN GERMANY	72
	Introduction.....	72
	Specifics for the German EEZ in the North Sea.....	73
	Specifics for the German EEZ in the Baltic Sea.....	74
	Spatial Plan for the German EEZ in the North Sea.....	75
	Spatial Plan for the German EEZ in the Baltic Sea.....	76

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1: MSP Process - As envisioned by UNESCO	7
Figure 2: The 5 Phases in contingency planning [adapted from FEMA].....	9
Figure 3: Definitions used on turning circle, extracted from IMO resolution MSC.137(76).....	17
Figure 4: Distance between wind farm and shipping route.....	20
Figure 5: Required space between shipping route and a starboard side wind farm	23
Figure 6: Required space between shipping route and a port side wind farm	24
Figure 7: Required room to a TSS	24
Figure 8: Safety Management System and Risk Assessment.....	28
Figure 9: Blind sectors generated by wind turbines.....	33
Figure 10: False target phenomena seen temporarily by a land based radar	33
Figure 11: Recommendation for VTS radar protection on either side of the operating area	34
Figure 12: Example of false target generated on a ship radar screen (ship is in the wind farm close to a wind generator)	35
Figure 13: Illustration of communications channels encountered in the marine environment	35
Figure 14: Fresnel zone blockage calculation for assessing wind turbine blockage	36
Figure 15: Saint-Brieuc (France) OWF project and the different VHF coverages	37
Figure 16: Disruption of DGPS.....	39
Figure 17: Effect on sweep width of SAR lane spacing. An unswept area (red rectangular) originates due to the wind farm layout limiting available spacing [ICAO/IMO JWG, 2015]	42
Figure 18: Effect of wind on SAR helicopter following SAR lane [ICAO/IMO JWG, 2015]	42
Figure 19: Effect of wind turbines blanking SAR objects – Detection opportunities [ICAO/IMO JWG, 2015] ..	43